

● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

I F W

Application : <u>09469506</u>	Examiner : <u>Nguyen</u>	GAU : <u>2662</u>
From: <u>ewc</u>	Location: <u>IDO</u> FMF FDC	Date: <u>2/11/05</u>
Tracking #: <u>06058791</u>		Week Date: <u>12-27-04</u>

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OATH	<u>4-12-00</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/> 312	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC	_____	

[RUSH] MESSAGE: _____

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: Assuming your unasked question had to do with the 2nd inventor's not signing the oath, here's your answer:

PETDEC 12/21/2000 grants Rule 1.47(a) status in the 4th paragraph. disregard strike-through + "REFUSING" in the letter's heading.

If you had a different question in mind, pose it in a new question

INITIALS: Inf

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.

REV 10/04